

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/750,002	12/30/2003	Hans-Christoph Rohland	6570P009	9951
4596 11/19/2098 SAP/BSTZ BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			EXAM	UNER
			ALI, FARHAD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2446	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/750,002
 ROHLAND ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 FARHAD ALI
 2446

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):
1) <u>FARHAD ALI</u> .	(3) <u>BENJAMIN BRUCKART</u> .
2) <u>JONATHAN MILLER (Reg # 48534)</u> .	(4)
Date of Interview: <u>12 November 2008</u> .	
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)☐ applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.
Claim(s) discussed: <u>6 and 18</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached.	g) was not reached. h) № N/A.
would change the rejection and require further search an prosecution is continued.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attach if the FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to I SIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INFIRENCE ASTATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTIRULE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTIRULE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTIRULE ASTATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE	ilicant discussed the claims in view of the after final with bipect matter. The examiner agreed that the amendments of consideration. The examiner agreed to use new art if andments which the examiner agreed would render the claims recopy of the amendments that would render the claims recopy of the amendments that would render the claims recopy of the amendments that would render the claims recopy of the amendments that would render the claims recopy of the amendments that would render the claims recopy of the amendments that would render the claims recopy of the amendments and the claims recopy of the control of t
/Benjamin R Bruckart/ Examiner, Art Unit 2446	